

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bro. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,570	12/05/2001	F. Alan Fenton	5360-05	8007
7:	590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Spencer T. Smith			VINCENT, SEAN E	
Emhart Glass Manufacturing Inc. 89 Phoenix Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1229			1731	
Enfield, CT 06082			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,570	FENTON, F. ALAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean E Vincent	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2002</u> is/are:		ected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea 		eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived	
	and continue copied flot i		
Attachment(s)	,, □	(DTO 440)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention
- 3. Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear whether the displacement means moves continuously between the four named positions without pausing or stops at each position for an unspecified length of time. Furthermore, it is not understood how "near the conveyor" is different from "over the conveyor"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fenton (US 4244725).
- 6. Fenton taught an assembly with a conveyor, a takeout with a takeout arm, supporting means and displacement means and a means for operating the takeout to grip and release formed bottles (see figures, col. 3, line 62 to col. 4, line 56; col. 5, lines 48-58; col. 6, lines 40-63). The

Art Unit: 1731

displacement means of Fenton is described as a "three position" type with an intermediate dwell position at which a bottle is over the deadplate, between the blow station and the lehr (conveyor). Fenton did not teach a difference between a position near the conveyor and a position over the conveyor. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to use supporting means and displacement means capable of "four position" movement because it would appear to involve no more than an additional dwell position in the means of Fenton. Furthermore, no dwell time is claimed at the third position, which reads on a continuous movement between positions two and four.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to further show the state of the art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is (571) 272-1194. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00).
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9/97 (toll-free).

S Vincent

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731